

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JAMES R. CONROY,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation and the members of its Police Force, OFFICERS SCOTT MOSS, JOHN HAYES, MARK GRINSTEAD, SMITH, MACCARRONE, JOHNSON, PALTON, STOCKWELL, MARK WORSTMAN, and JOHN DOES I through V.,

Defendants.

No. C02-2380P

**DECLARATION OF RAUL
MARTINEZ IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT
WITNESSES (D. P. VAN
BLARICOM AND DAVID ROYS)**

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
Friday, November 28, 2003**

I, RAUL MARTINEZ, certify and declare as follows:

1. I am over the age of 18 years and am otherwise competent to make this declaration. I am one of the attorneys for the defendants in this matter. This declaration is made upon personal knowledge setting forth facts I believe to be true.

2. Attached as true and accurate copies are the following exhibits:

Exhibit Document

A. Order Setting Trial Date and Related Dates for Case No C02-2380P;
**DECLARATION OF RAUL MARTINEZ IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESSES - 1**
[C02-2380P]

STAFFORD FREY COOPER
—Professional Corporation—
A T T O R N E Y S
3100 TWO UNION SQUARE
601 UNION STREET
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374
TEL. (206) 623-9900
FAX (206) 624-6885

- 1 B. Excerpts of Plaintiff's Discovery Answers, dated March 17, 2003;
2 C. Plaintiff's Supplemental Discovery Answers, dated October 22, 2003;
3 D. Plaintiff's letter dated September 25, 2003.

4 *I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS
5 OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE
6 AND CORRECT.*

7 DATED this 11th day of November, 2003, at Seattle, Washington.

8 
9 _____
10 RAUL MARTINEZ

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

DECLARATION OF RAUL MARTINEZ IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESSES - 2
[C02-2380P]

Certificate of Service

I certify that on the date noted below I presented DECLARATION OF RAUL MARTINEZ IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESSES (D. P. VAN BLARICOM AND DAVID ROYS) to the Clerk of the Court for filing and uploading to the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following persons:

and I certify that I have caused to be served in the manner noted below a copy of the above-listed document to the following non CM/ECF participants:

Frank R. Siderius
Siderius Lonergan & Martin LLP
500 Union Street, Suite 847
Seattle, WA 98101
206/624-2800
Attorney for Plaintiff

Via Facsimile
 Via First Class Mail
 Via Messenger

DATED this 11th day of November, 2003, at Seattle, Washington.


Raul Martinez, WSBA #31848

**DECLARATION OF RAUL MARTINEZ IN
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT WITNESSES - 3
[C02-2380P]**

STAFFORD FREY COOPER
Professional Corporation
A T T O R N E Y S
3100 TWO UNION SQUARE
601 UNION STREET
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374
TEL. (206) 623-9900
FAX (206) 624-6885

EXHIBIT A

2/25/03
FILED
LODGED
ENTERED
RECEIVED

FEB 24 2003

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

3019/24207
RRM/TAB

JAMES R. CONROY,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

THE CITY OF SEATTLE, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. C02-2380P

ORDER SETTING TRIAL
DATE & RELATED DATES

TRIAL DATE

120	90	60	45	30	14	7
1/27/03	1/25/03	1/25/03	1/19/04	1/23/04	2/9/04	2/16/04

February 23, 2004

Deadline for joining additional parties

March 24, 2003

Reports from expert witnesses under FRCP 26(a)(2) due

14	7	20	45
8/13/03	8/20/03	7/28/03	7/11/03

All motions related to discovery must be filed by
and noted on the motion calendar no later than the
third Friday thereafter (see CR7(d))

14	7	30	45
9/12/03	9/19/03	8/27/03	8/14/03

Discovery completed by

120	90	60	45	30
6/30/03	7/29/03	8/28/03	9/12/03	9/28/03

October 27, 2003

All dispositive motions must be filed by

45	30	14	7
10/10/03	10/27/03	11/11/03	11/18/03

November 25, 2003

and noted on the motion calendar no later than the
fourth Friday thereafter (see CR 7(d))

Settlement conference per CR 39.1(c)(2) held no later than

45	30	14	7
12/22/03	12/29/03	1/5/04	1/12/04

December 29, 2003

Mediation per CR 39.1(c)(3) held no later than

45	30	14	7
12/5/03	12/29/03	1/5/04	1/12/04

January 23, 2004

All motions in limine must be filed by

30	14	7
12/24/03	1/12/04	1/19/04

January 26, 2004

and noted on the motion calendar no later than the
second Friday thereafter

Agreed pretrial order due

7	2/4/04
---	--------

February 11, 2004

Pretrial conference

7	2/16/04
---	---------

February 13, 2004 at 11:00 a.m.

Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed

14	2
----	---

February 18, 2004

jury instructions, and trial exhibits due

2/4/04	2/11/04
--------	---------

ORDER SETTING TRIAL DATE & RELATED DATES - 1

P pre-trial statement

DUE
1/12/04

7

A pre-trial statement

1/12/04

1/15/04

DOCKETED

2/26/03 By [Signature] /s/

1 Length of Trial: 6 - 8 days

Jury XX

2
3 These dates are set at the direction of the Court after reviewing the joint status report and
4 discovery plan submitted by the parties. All other dates are specified in the Local Civil Rules.
5 If any of the dates identified in this Order or the Local Civil Rules fall on a weekend or federal
6 holiday, the act or event shall be performed on the next business day. These are firm dates that
7 can be changed only by order of the Court, not by agreement of counsel or the parties. The
8 Court will alter these dates only upon good cause shown: failure to complete discovery within
9 the time allowed is not recognized as good cause.

10 If the trial date assigned to this matter creates an irreconcilable conflict, counsel must
11 notify the Deputy Clerk, Eileen Scollard, in writing within 10 days of the date of this Order and
12 must set forth the exact nature of the conflict. A failure to do so will be deemed a waiver.
13 Counsel must be prepared to begin trial on the date scheduled, but it should be understood that
14 the trial may have to await the completion of other cases.

COOPERATION:

15 As required by CR 37(a), all discovery matters are to be resolved by agreement if
16 possible. Counsel are further directed to cooperate in preparing the final pretrial order in the
17 format required by CR 16.1, except as ordered below.

EXHIBITS:

18 The original and one copy of the trial exhibits are to be delivered to chambers five days
19 before the trial date. Each exhibit shall be clearly marked. Exhibit tags are available in the
20 Clerk's Office. The Court hereby alters the CR 16.1 procedure for numbering exhibits:
21 plaintiff's exhibits shall be numbered consecutively beginning with 1; defendant's exhibits shall
22 be numbered consecutively beginning with the next number not used by plaintiff. Duplicate
23 documents shall not be listed twice: once a party has identified an exhibit in the pretrial order,
24 any party may use it. Each set of exhibits shall be submitted in a three-ring binder with
25
26

1 appropriately numbered tabs.

2 **SETTLEMENT:**

3 Should this case settle, counsel shall notify Eileen Scollard as soon as possible at 206-
4 553-1801. Pursuant to GR 3(b), an attorney who fails to give the Deputy Clerk prompt notice of
5 settlement may be subject to such discipline as the Court deems appropriate.

6 A copy of this Minute Order shall be mailed to all counsel of record.

7 DATED this 24th day of February, 2003.

8 
9 Marsha J. Pechman
10 United States District Judge

EXHIBIT B

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JAMES R. CONROY,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal corporation and the members of its Police Force; OFFICERS SCOTT MOSS, JOHN HAYES, GRINSTEAD, SMITH, MACCARRONE, JOHNSON, PALDON, STOCKWELL, and MARK WORSTMAN, and JOHN DOES I through V,

Defendants.


TO: JAMES R. CONROY, Plaintiff;

AND TO: FRANK SIDERIUS, his attorney:

The City of Seattle, in accordance with Rules 26, 33 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, propounds the following written interrogatories and requests for production to plaintiff James R. Conroy. These interrogatories are continuing in nature and any information requested herein which may be discovered by you after answering these interrogatories, but before final disposition of this case, are to be furnished to defendants

DEFENDANTS FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS
FOR PRODUCTION [C02-2380P] - 1

STAFFORD FREY COOPER
Professional Corporation
ATTORNEYS
2500 RAJNIE TOWER
1301 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2621
TELEPHONE (206) 623-9900



1 reports and statements furnished, and to be furnished by defendant City of Seattle as
2 well as records of King County Community Mental Health produced herewith.

3 18. Identify each person(s) whom you intend to call as an expert witness at trial of
4 this case and, for each, provide all opinions to be expressed by the witness and the basis
and reasons therefore, and the data or other information considered by the witness in
forming the opinion.

5 ANSWER:

6 To date, plaintiff is not able to identify an expert he intends to call at trial. This
7 answer will be supplemented.

8 REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

9 Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, you are hereby
10 requested to produce for inspection and copying at the offices of the attorneys for the
11 defendants within thirty (30) days from the date hereof the following documents and things:
12 These are continuing requests:

13 1. Any and all "Documents", e.g., photographs, video/audio recordings, media
articles, relating to the incident described in your complaint.

14 RESPONSE:

15 Please refer to all documents produced with initial disclosures. Additionally,
16 provided herewith are reports obtained from King County Community Mental Health
17 pursuant to consent of plaintiff. This response will be supplemented upon receipt of
additional documents.

18 2. All medical and mental health records, reports, chart notes or medical bills
19 identified in the preceding interrogatories.

20 RESPONSE:

21 Plaintiff has signed consent forms for defendants to obtain all medical records
and bills.

22 3. All medical reports, records and bills of any treatment, examination, test or
23 counseling of you (a) relating to claims arising out of this incident, as well as (b) of any kind
24 from ten (10) years prior to this incident through the present date.

25
26 DEFENDANTS FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS
FOR PRODUCTION [C02-2380P] - 12

1
2 9. Any and all reports, writings or other documents made and/or relied upon by
each expert in the course of preparing that expert's opinion, with respect to each person you
have retained as an expert witness in this action.
3

4 **RESPONSE:**

5 **No trial experts have been retained. This response will be supplemented.**

6 10. A copy of the curriculum vitae of each person you have identified as an expert
witness, a copy of any documentation upon which said expert relied in formulating his/her
opinion, and a copy of the retainer agreement between yourself and the expert.
7

8 **RESPONSE:**

9 **No trial experts have been retained. This response will be supplemented**


10 11. A copy of each and every item of documentary or physical evidence which
supports any aspect of your claims herein not previously produced
11

12 **RESPONSE:**

13 **None to date. This answer will be supplemented.**

15 DATED: March 17, 2003.
16

17 By

18 
FRANK R. SIDERIUS, WSBA #7759
19 Attorney for Plaintiff

20
21 DEFENDANTS FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS
22 FOR PRODUCTION [C02-2380P] - 14
23
24
25
26

STAFFORD FREY COOPER


Professional Corporation

ATTORNEYS
2500 RAINIER TOWER
1301 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-2621
TELEPHONE (206) 623-9900

1
2 STATE OF WASHINGTON } ss.
3 COUNTY OF KING }
4

James R. Conroy, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and states as follows:

That I am the plaintiff herein, that I have read the foregoing interrogatories and answers thereto, know the contents thereof and believe the same to be true.


JAMES R. CONROY

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this 15th day of March, 2003.


Signature
Print name: FRANK R. SIDERIUS
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State
of Washington, residing at SEATTLE
My appointment expires: 7/14/06

DEFENDANTS FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS
FOR PRODUCTION [C02-2380P] - 15

EXHIBIT C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman
8
9

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2003

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JAMES R. CONROY,

10 Plaintiff,

No. C02-2380P

11 vs.

12 THE CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal
13 corporation and the members of
14 its Police Force, OFFICERS SCOTT
15 MOSS, JOHN HAYES, MARK GRINSTEAD,
16 MARGARET SMITH, JOE MACCARRONE,
17 BRADLEY JOHNSON, SUZANNE PARTON,
CLAY STOCKWELL, MARK WORSTMAN,
GLEN MULKEY, JAMES BRAKEBILL,
ROLF TOWNE, DWAYNE PIRAK, JOE
PIOLI, GREG RICE, MATT NESS,
LIEUTENANT MARK MOUNT, and
CAPTAIN MICHAEL SANFORD,

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES OF
PLAINTIFF TO DEFENDANTS'
FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND
REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

18 Defendants.
19

Plaintiff provides the following supplemental responses:

20
21 INTERROGATORY NO. 15: State in detail the amount of dollars
and cents claimed by plaintiff for general damages, loss of time or
22 income, medical and hospital expenses, and any other special
damages.

23 SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER:

24 Medical/hospital expenses update:

25 1. Calypso for Premera Blue Cross: \$17,031.56 (principal)

26
27 SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES OF PLAINTIFF
TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST INTERROGATORIES
28 AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION - 1

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
500 UNION STREET
SUITE 847
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
(206) 624-2800
FAX (206) 624-2805

1
2. Risk Management Alternative for Harborview: \$34,517.41
2
3. Custom Ocular: \$ 3,455.00
4. Specialty Eyecare: \$ 176.00

5 INTERROGATORY NO. 18: Identify each person(s) whom you intend
6 to call as an expert witness at trial of this case and, for each,
7 provide all opinions to be expressed by the witness and the basis
and reasons therefore, and the data or other information
considered by the witness in forming the opinion.

8 **SUPPLEMENTAL ANSWER:**

9 D.P. Van Blaricom. See documents produced in response to
10 Request for Production of Documents Nos. 9 and 10.


11 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: Any and all reports, writings or
12 other documents made and/or relied upon by each expert in the
course of preparing that expert's opinion, with respect to each
13 person you have retained as an expert witness in this action.

14 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:** Provided herewith.

15 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: A copy of the curriculum vitae
16 of each person you have identified as an expert witness, a copy of
any documentation upon which said expert relied in formulating
17 his/her opinion, and a copy of the retainer agreement between
yourself and the expert.

18 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:** Provided herewith.

19 Dated this 22nd day of October, 2003.

20
21 
Frank R. Siderius WSBA 7759
SIDERIUS LONERGAN & MARTIN LLP
22 Attorneys for Plaintiff

23
24
25
26
27 SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES OF PLAINTIFF
28 TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST INTERROGATORIES
AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION - 2

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
500 UNION STREET
SUITE 847
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
(206) 624-2800

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

500 UNION STREET

SUITE 847

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

206/624-2800

FAX 206/624-2805

WWW.SIDLON.COM

RAYMOND H. SIDERIUS
CHARLES R. LONERGAN, JR.
MICHAEL G. MARTIN
FRANK R. SIDERIUS
ANNA M. TOLIN
MICHAEL E. SIDERIUS
MICHAEL T. SENNOTT

COUNSEL
KELLIS M. BOREK
SOLIE M. RINGOLD
WILLIAM E. WALL

October 20, 2003

Via Messenger

D.P. Van Blaricom
D.P. Van Blaricom, Inc.
835 - 91st Lane NE
Bellevue, WA 98004

Re: *Conroy v. City of Seattle*
U. S. District Court Cause No. C-02-2380P

Dear Mr. Van Blaricom:

I am enclosing a number of documents for your review as well as photographs, a videotape taken by a neighbor and a videotape of a public access TV program.

The Complaint filed in the case provides a recitation of the relevant facts. Mr. Conroy has no prior criminal history and his bizarre behavior on the date of the incident resulted from his discontinuation of medication several months prior.

While we have no problem with the police responding to a neighbor's complaint, we are concerned with police procedures that followed thereafter during this lengthy event. As you will see from the materials, a SWAT team armed with less lethal weapons, rushed Mr. Conroy. He was hit in the vicinity of his left eye by a plastic baton round resulting in loss of his eye.

I am also enclosing a copy of two recent Ninth Circuit cases, *Deorle v. Rutherford* and *Drummond v. City of Anaheim*. You may already be familiar with these opinions which appear to support Mr. Conroy's claim that excessive force was utilized against him.

The enclosed materials obtained through discovery provide details of the events of August 9, 2001. Please note that on August 8, 2001, King County Crisis & Commitment Services Mental Health Professionals had met with family members at Mr. Conroy's home. Family members were concerned that Mr. Conroy had stopped

D.P. Van Blaricom
October 20, 2003
Page 2.

taking medication and had removed items of furniture which were placed in the front of his home. Mr. Conroy would not talk to the mental health professionals. The MHPs determined there was insufficient evidence to proceed with an involuntary commitment.

On the day of the incident, King County Mental Health Crisis & Commitment Services received a call from Seattle Police Department Officer Susie Parton. A copy of MHP notes is provided to you. You can see that approximately 3:30 p.m. two MHPs arrived at the scene and advised police that they "did not have sufficient evidence for involuntary commitment at this point."

In responding to interrogatories, the City had admitted that police lacked probable cause to believe Mr. Conroy had committed a particular crime or was a victim of a particular crime. There was also no indication that anyone else was in the house with Mr. Conroy or that he had weapons.

The SWAT crisis team which used force in taking Mr. Conroy into custody was situated at the street side of a shed on Mr. Conroy's property. As you can see from the enclosed photographs, the officers moved from that location toward Mr. Conroy's front door. We have measured the distance from the corner of the shed to the front porch. That distance is approximately 30 feet (9 meters).

Please provide an opinion regarding police procedures in this case. Was the use of force excessive under the circumstances? Should it have been clear to a reasonable officer at the time of the encounter that the force to be used was unlawful? How should the situation have been handled differently? As I indicated in our recent telephone conversation, we are on a very tight schedule and would appreciate hearing from you as soon as possible.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please contact me if you need further information or documentation.

Very truly yours,

SIDERIUS DONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP

Frank R. Siderius

FRS/mb
Enclosures

EXHIBIT D

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

500 UNION STREET

SUITE 847

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

206/624-2800

FAX 206/624-2805

WWW.SIDLON.COM

COUNSEL
KELLIS M. BOREK
SOLIE M. RINGOLD
WILLIAM E. WALL

RAYMOND H. SIDERIUS
CHARLES R. LONERGAN, JR.
MICHAEL G. MARTIN
FRANK R. SIDERIUS
ANNA M. TOLIN
MICHAEL E. SIDERIUS
MICHAEL T. SENNOTT

September 25, 2003

RECEIVED

SEP 29 2003

Mr. Raul Martinez
Attorney at Law
STAFFORD FREY COOPER
601 Union St., Ste 3100
Seattle, WA 98101

STAFFORD FREY COOPER

Re: Conroy v. City of Seattle, et al.
U.S. District Court Cause No. C02-2380P

Dear Raul:

In checking with Federal Rule 39.1 and the Western District of Washington website, I have come up with a list of proposed mediators. I suggest consideration be given to Retired Judge George A. Finkle, Larry Levy, and Retired Judges Sally Pasette or Rosselle Pekelis.


If you provide me with a list of acceptable mediators, I will undertake the task of securing available dates. I assume we are talking about late October or early November. Please let me know available dates for you and Ted.

I will provide the executed stipulation and authorizations for release of medical information to you under separate cover. I have not received any copies of medical records pursuant to earlier consents signed by Mr. Conroy. I assume you will provide a copy of all records obtained, so I will not have to duplicate the process.

Finally, I enclose a copy of a "report" from David S. Roys, M.D., addressed to attorney James Roe which contains Mr. Conroy's diagnosis. Obviously, Dr. Roys is an expert witness on behalf of Mr. Conroy and this is the only report we have received from him to date.

Very truly yours,

SIDERIUS LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP


Frank R. Siderius

FRS/mb
Enclosure
cc: Client

David S. Roys, M.D.

Psychiatry
1020 Cabrini Tower
901 Boren Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98104

(206) 621-1828

Fax (206) 624-1489

September 22, 2003

James Martin Roe, Attorney
705 Second Avenue
Suite 1300
Hoge Building
Seattle, Washington 98104

Re: James Raymond Conroy
DOB 10/23/1959

Dear Mr. Roe:

I have been treating James R. Conroy since September 11, 2001. At the to his request, I am writing this letter which he understands will be forwarded to be appropriate court authority. His diagnosis is as follows:

DSM-IV diagnosis:

Axis I: Bipolar illness, last manic, in partial remission
Axis II: none
Axis III: injury to left eye from bullet
Axis IV: recovery from gunshot, social isolation
Axis V: GAF (current) 65 GAF (highest in past six months) 65

Mr. Conroy is currently stabilized on Zyprexa 15 milligrams daily and chlordiazepoxide 25 milligrams on as needed basis. He has been very compliant with his medications, and is not a risk to the community. I plan to continue seeing him on a monthly-quarterly basis or more often as his condition warrants. I will be happy to provide progress notes to the appropriate person.

If I can be of any further assistance in this matter, please feel free to contact me.


Sincerely,

David S. Roys, M.D.

COPY RECEIVED

SEP 23 2003

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & MARTIN, LLP



Western District of Washington U.S.D.C.

Categorized Profiles

[\[x\] expand](#) [\[x\] collapse](#) [\[x\] search](#)

Found 654 items. Click 'pg dn' to display more items.

- Admiralty/Maritime
- Antitrust/Trade Regulation
- Banking
- Bankruptcy
- Business Litigation
- ▼ Civil Rights
 - [Albrecht, Kathleen L.](#)
 - [Bender, William J.](#)
 - [Bertram, Gregory L.](#)
 - [Burgess, F. Ross](#)
 - [Cairns, Carolyn](#)
 - [Cavanaugh, Michael E.](#)
 - [Curwen, Gregory B.](#)
 - [Diamondstone, Fred](#)
 - [Dickens, James R.](#)
 - [Endriss, Marilyn J.](#)
 - [Finkle, George A.](#)
 - [Fitz-Gerald, Diane G.](#)
 - [Fletcher, Kelby D.](#)
 - [Freed, Clifford](#)
 - [Horowitz, Donald J.](#)
 - [Keay, Christopher](#)
 - [Kelley, Donald E.](#)
 - [Levy, Larry E.](#)
 - [Logerwell, Donald L.](#)
 - [Martin, Elizabeth P.](#)
 - [Meyers, Frederick M.](#)
 - [Pasette, Sally Phillips](#)
 - [Pekelis, Rosselle \(Retired Judge\)](#)
 - [Rasmussen, Frederick T.](#)
 - [Spearman, Theodore](#)
 - [Tarshes, David C.](#)
 - [Thompson, Donald H.](#)
- Constitutional
- Construction
- Consumer Protection

39.1 Mediators:

- [Categorized Profiles](#)
- [Mediator Profiles](#)
- [Reference Materials](#)

- ▶ Contracts
- ▶ Corporations
- ▶ Employment
- ▶ Environmental
- ▶ Finance
- ▶ Insurance
- ▶ Intellectual Property
- ▶ International
- ▶ Land Use
- ▶ Products Liability
- ▶ Professional Negligence
- ▶ Real Property
- ▶ Securities
- ▶ Torts
- ▶ Wills & Trusts
- ▶ (Not Categorized)

Found 654 items. Click 'pg dn' to display more items.

[43,091](#) [pg dn](#) [flexpath](#) [collapse](#) [search](#)